BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	U	g	FER	ZUUD
WIPO		_		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P816-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.	International filing date (day/mo	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/JP2004/013974	16.09.2004	18.09.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C22C23/02							
Applicant TOYOTA JIDOSHA KABUSHIKI KAISHA et al.							
This report is the international pr Authority under Article 35 and tra	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 4 sheets, including this cov	rer sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied							
a. Dent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a t	otal of sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the descrip	- V I is to sent the board and are the basis of this report						
☐ sheets which supers beyond the disclosur Supplemental Box.	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the						
coguence listing and/or to							
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following items:						
☑ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion						
☐ Box No. II Priority							
	ment of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity							
M Roy No. V Beasoned sta	the second secon						
☐ Box No. VI Certain docur	nents cited						
☐ Box No. VII Certain defec	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obser	vations on the international app	plication					
Date of submission of the demand	Date	e of completion of this report					
15.04.2005	07.	02.2006					
Name and mailing address of the internal	tionai Auti	horized Officer					
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office		dcock, G					
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52	23656 epmu d						
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Tele	ephone No. +49 89 2399-8445					

EST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/013974

	Box No. I Basis of the report					
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	☐ This report is based on trans which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of:				
	☐ international search (und☐ publication of the international preliminary	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)				
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	Description, Pages					
	1-13	as originally filed				
	Claims, Numbers					
	1-5	as originally filed				
	Drawings, Sheets					
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed				
	☐ a sequence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing				
3.	☐ The amendments have rest	ulted in the cancellation of:				
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (sp					
	any table(s) related to se	equence listing (specify):				
4.	4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and list had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indic Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.					
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs					
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s					
	* If item 4 applies, s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."				

International application No. PCT/JP2004/013974

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-5

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-5

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-5

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Relevant documents <u>1).</u>

D1: EP-A-1 127 950 (MITSUBISHI ALUMINIUM) 29 August 2001 (2001-08-29)

D2: EP-A-1 048 743 (GEN MOTORS CORP) 2 November 2000 (2000-11-02)

D3: EP-A-1 308 531 (VOLKSWAGENWERK AG ; DEAD SEA MAGNESIUM LTD (IL)) 7 May 2003 (2003-05-07)

Novelty, Art.33(2) PCT <u>2).</u>

- D1 discloses a magnesium alloy suitable for die casting comprising, in its broadest 2.1 definition, 2-6 Al, 0.3-2 Ca, 0.01-1 Sr, 0.1-1 Mn and the balance Mg. The ratio Ca/Al therefore also falls within the claimed range. Furthermore, 0.1-3% rare earth metals, to increase the creep properties, may be added to the alloy, (cf. [0032] and [0065]). The amount of "over 6% AI" as given in claim 1 is not distinguishable from the amount of 6% as disclosed in D1. Although there are no examples falling within the claimed ranges of the application, the disclosure is such that the skilled man would, upon reading D1, seriously contemplate working within the claimed range. The same also applies, in principle, to the further limitations given in dependent claims 2-4. None of the claims comprises novel subject matter with respect to D1.
- 2.2 D2 discloses creep resistant magnesium alloy die castings comprising about 3-6 Al, about 1.7-3.3 Ca, up to 0.35 Mn, about Sr 0.01-0.2 Sr and the balance being magnesium. Table 1A and Table 1B in D2 (with Ca/Al ratios of 0.35 and 0.42 respectively) disclose alloys L and AC63+0.2 which, also in terms of the aluminium contents of 5.9 and 6.0, are not clearly distinguishable from the alloy claimed. The subject matters of claims 1-3 and 5 are not novel with respect to D2.
- The same applies mutatis mutandis to D3 (cf. [0015], [0021] to [[0027]), as for D2. 2.3

Inventive step, Art.33(3) PCT 3.

Even if novelty could be acknowledged for the claims with respect to D1-D3, by virtue of a distinguishable Al content, which is strongly denied, it cannot be seen that such an imperceivable difference could causally result in different discernable effects. Hence there would in any case appear to be no inventive step associated with the claimed subject matter.